

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/601,398	06/23/2003	Yu-Hong Shih	TSAI/0005	7741	
7:	590 10/01/2004		EXAM	INER	
WILLIAM B. PATTERSON			KALIVODA, CH	KALIVODA, CHRISTOPHER M	
MOSER, PATT Suite 1500	MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P. Suite 1500 ART UNIT P			PAPER NUMBER	
3040 Post Oak Blvd. 2883					
Houston, TX	77056		DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/601,398	SHIH, YU-HONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Christopher M. Kalivoda	2883	ar-			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	endment received July 19, 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a large to be a la	a) accepted or b) objected to edinating and or b) objected to edinating or being and or being and or being or b	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of the control	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/09/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office I	Action Summary Brian He	Part of Paper No./Mai	l Date 092804			

Primary Examiner

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed July 19, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Schultz et al. U.S. Patent 6,672,901 in view of Schacter, U.S. Patent 4,044,888.

Essentially, the new grounds of rejection is as follows: Schultz et al. is concerned with small form factor transceivers and teach using pluggable transceiver modules plugged into a socket on a PCB where the direction of plugging is also <u>parallel</u> to the PCB as amended. Based on Fig 4, it certainly "appears" as if golden finger electrical connections are used for the electrical connection. Schacter describes these types of electrical connections and provides excellent motivation for their use so the two references are combined.

Regarding the administrative changes, the changes to the claims and specification are approved the corresponding objections are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,398

Art Unit: 2883

Claims 1-6, 8-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al. U.S. Patent 6,672,901 in view of Aronson, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0180011.

Regarding independent claim 1 as amended, Schultz et al., describe a pluggable optical transceiver module (col 1, lines 20-25) comprising an optical fiber connecting interface (col 1, lines 18-20 and col 4, lines 14-18, especially line 18) connecting with an optical fiber, an optical signal transceiver (col 1, lines 18-20) connecting with the optical fiber connecting interface for processing optical/electronic signals and a connecting interface inserted into a corresponding socket (col 1, lines 40-44 and Fig 4, ref sign 4) mounted on a printed circuit board (Fig 4, ref sign 3) in a direction parallel to the printed circuit board (see Fig 4), the connecting interface connecting with the optical signal transceiver to transmit the electronic signals (col 1, lines 40-44).

However, the reference is silent with respect to the use of the term golden finger connecting interface. Please note that in Fig 4, ref sign 4 clearly shows a type of interface that "appears" to be golden finger type interface and is reminiscent of the type of connection one sees with printed circuit boards in computers.

Schachter describes the use of "gold finger" connecting interfaces for use with printed circuit boards and mating with cooperating connectors (sockets) (col 6, lines 63-68, col 7, lines 1-3 and Fig 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Schultz et al. and use "golden finger" connecting interfaces as taught by Schachter for the purpose of permitting repeated

Application/Control Number: 10/601,398

Art Unit: 2883

connection and disengagement from a printed circuit board connector without impairment of the electrical characteristics of the resulting connection (col 7, lines 20-25).

Regarding claim 2, Schultz et al. in view of Schachter teach the limitations as described above. In addition, the golden finger interface makes use of printed circuit board technology to print the golden fingers (col 7, lines 4-7).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Schultz et al. also teach the optical signal transceiver comprises an optical signal transmitter that is a laser diode (col 4, lines 14-18).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Schultz et al. also teach the optical transceiver comprises an optical signal receiver that is a photodiode (col 4, lines 14-18).

Regarding claim 8, Schultz et al. teach the transceiver module comprises a corresponding socket (Fig 4, ref sign 4) with an interface corresponding to the golden finger connecting interface since the module is pluggable.

Regarding claim 9, the transceiver module comprises a single channel bidirection (col 4, lines 14-18) small form factor optical transceiver module (col 1, lines 20-25).

Regarding independent claim 10, Schultz et al describe a bi-directional (col 4, lines 14-18) small form factor optical transceiver module (col 1, lines 20-25) comprising an optical fiber connecting interface (col 1, lines 18-20 and col 4, lines 14-18, especially

line 18) connecting with an optical fiber to transmit optical signals; an optical signal transmitter (col 4, line 14-18, especially line 15) connecting with the optical fiber connecting interface to transform output electronic signals into output optical signals, an optical signal receiver (col 4, lines 14-18, especially line 15) connecting with the optical fiber connecting interface to transform input optical signals into input electronic signals (col 4, lines 39-42) and a connecting interface inserted into a corresponding socket (Fig 4, ref sign 4) mounted on a printed circuit board (Fig 4, ref sign 3) in a direction parallel to the printed circuit board (see Fig 4), the connecting interface connecting with the optical signal transmitter and the optical signal receiver to transmit the input electronic signals and the output electronic signals.

However, the reference is silent with respect to the use of the term golden finger connecting interface. Please note that in Fig 4, ref sign 4 clearly shows a type of interface that "appears" to be golden finger type interface and is reminiscent of the type of connection one sees with printed circuit boards in computers.

Schachter describes the use of "gold finger" connecting interfaces for use with printed circuit boards and mating with cooperating connectors (sockets) (col 6, lines 63-68, col 7, lines 1-3 and Fig 17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Schultz et al. and use "golden finger" connecting interfaces as taught by Schachter for the purpose of permitting repeated connection and disengagement from a printed circuit board connector without

Application/Control Number: 10/601,398

Art Unit: 2883

impairment of the electrical characteristics of the resulting connection (col 7, lines 20-25).

Regarding claim 11, Schultz et al. in view of Schachter teach the limitations as described above. In addition, the golden finger interface makes use of printed circuit board technology to print the golden fingers (col 7, lines 4-7).

Regarding claim 12, Schultz et al. also teach the optical signal transceiver comprises an optical signal transmitter that is a laser diode (col 4, lines 14-18).

Regarding claim 13, Schultz et al. also teach the optical transceiver comprises an optical signal receiver that is a photodiode (col 4, lines 14-18).

Regarding claim 15, Schultz et al. teach the transceiver module comprises a corresponding socket (Fig 4, ref sign 4) with an interface corresponding to the golden finger connecting interface since the module is pluggable.

Regarding claim 16, Schultz et al. show the corresponding socket (Fig 4, ref sign 4) is mounted in an electric appliance (Fig 4) with a pluggable single channel bidirectional small form factor optical transceiver module.

Regarding claim 17, the transceiver is a small form factor optical transceiver module as described above but is silent with respect to the dimensions of the transceiver being about 0.5 in wide.

Such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art (St Regis Paper Co. v Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2883

Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a transceiver that is about 0.5 inches wide for the purpose of increasing the package density by using as little space as possible on the circuit board.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0180011 describes golden finger type connections. U.S. Patent 6,705,764 describes pluggable transceiver module plugged into a socket in a manner parallel to a PCB.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,398 Page 8

Art Unit: 2883

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Kalivoda whose telephone number is (571) 272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Owc. cmk

> Brian Healy Printery Exeminer